

ON GENERALIZATION OF BANACH'S FIXED POINT THEOREM IN UNIFORM SPACES

B. SINGH

F-24, University campus, Vikram University, UJJAIN-456010 (M.P.) India

R. K. SHARMA

B.S.N. Govt. of P. G. College, Shajapur - 465 001 (M.P.) India

ABSTRACT :

The purpose of this paper is to prove some common fixed point theorems for weak-compatible maps of type (A) which generalize the Banach's fixed point theorem in Uniform space by taking a control function ϕ .

Key words : Uniform sapces, weak compatible maps of type (A), fixed point.

AMS Subject classification : Primary 54H25, Secondary 47H10.

1. Introduction : In a complete metric space X Banach's classical fixed point theorem guarantees the existance of a unique fixed point for a self map T of X if it is satisfies,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq k d(x, y), 0 \leq k < 1, x, y \in X$$

Browder [2] generalized the above theorem by taking a control function ϕ while Jungck [3] extended the same theorem for two commuting maps in a complete metric space.

An equivalent to the concepts of compatible and compatible maps of type (A), under some conditions Pathak-Kang-Beak [8, 9] introduced the concept of weak compatible maps of type (A) in Menger and 2-metric spaces. This concept is more general than that of weak commutativity studied by Sessa [11]. Compatibility and Compatibility of type (A) of maps was first introduced by Jungck [4] and Jungck-Murthy-Cho [5] respectively.

In this paper we deduce definition of weak compatible maps of type (A), which analogous to in [8, 9] and use it to generalize the Banach's fixed point theorem in Uniform space for four maps by taking a control function ϕ as under.

2. *Preliminaries* : A uniform space is a generalization of a metric space. Throughout the discussion (X, U) stands for Hausdorff Uniform space. For the terminology and basic properties of Uniform spaces the reader is referred to Acharya [1], Sharma [12], Mishra [7] and Rhoades [10].

Definition 2.1 : Let (X, U) be a Hausdorff Uniform space and P be a fixed family of pseudometrics p on X which generates the uniformity U . Following Kelley ([6] chapter 6), we define

$$(a) V_{(p,r)} = \{ (x, y) : x, y \in X, p(x,y) < r, r > 0 \}$$

$$(b) G = \{ V : V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)} ; p_i \in P, r_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}.$$

(c) For $\alpha > 0$,

$$\alpha V = \{ \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{(p_i, r_i)} ; p_i \in P, r_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n \}.$$

Definition 2.2 : Two self maps S and T of X are said to be weak-compatible of type (A) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(STx_n, TTx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(TSx_n, TTx_n),$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(TSx_n, SSx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(STx_n, SSx_n),$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = y$ for some y in X .

3. *Common fixed point theorems* : Before giving our main results we mention the following lemmas which are required in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 [1] : Let p be any pseudometric on X and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $(x, y) \in \alpha V_{(p,r_1)} \circ \alpha V_{(p,r_2)}$, then $p(x,y) \leq \alpha r_1 + \alpha r_2$.

Lemma 3.2 [1] : Let V be any member of G , then there is a pseudometric p on X such that $V = V_{(p,1)}$.

This p is called Minkowski's pseudometric of V .

Lemma 3.3 : Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of X satisfying :

$$(3.3.1) A(X) \subseteq T(X) ; B(X) \subseteq S(X).$$

$$(3.3.2) \text{ For any } V \in G, \alpha > 0 ; x, y \in X, (Sx, Ty) \in \alpha V \text{ implies}$$

$$(Ax, By) \in \phi(\alpha) V \text{ where } \phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is non-decreasing continuous on}$$

$$\text{the right and for } k > 0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \phi^n(k) < \infty.$$

(3.3.3) Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, then in virtue of (3.3.1) there exists $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$, $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Inductively we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} \text{ and } y_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n+2} = Bx_{2n+1}; n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof: Let $V \in G$ be arbitrary p be Minkowski's pseudometric of V . For $x, y \in X$, set $p(Sx, Ty) = r$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $p(Sx, Ty) \in (r+\varepsilon)V$.

From (3.3.2), $(Ax, By) \in \phi(r+\varepsilon)V$. Using lemma 3.1 and 3.2, we get

$$p(Ax, By) < \phi(r+\varepsilon).$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we have

$$(3.3.4) \quad p(Ax, By) \leq \phi(p(Sx, Ty)).$$

Now for sequence $\{y_n\}$ defined in (3.3.3). Using (3.3.4), we have $p(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq \phi(p(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}))$ and $p(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) \leq \phi(p(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}))$.

In general,

$$p(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \phi(p(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \leq \phi^2(p(y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})) \leq \dots \leq \phi^n(p(y_0, y_1)).$$

Now for any two positive integer $n, m (> n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_n, y_m) &\leq p(y_n, y_{n+1}) + p(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + p(y_{m-1}, y_m), \\ &\leq (\phi^n + \phi^{n+1} + \dots + \phi^{m-1}) p(y_0, y_1), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \phi^i(p(y_0, y_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Since for $k > 0$, $\sum_1^{\infty} \phi^n(k) < \infty$, we can find a positive integer n_0 such that for

$m > n \geq n_0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \phi^i(p(y_0, y_1)) < 1. \text{ Therefore } (y_n, y_m) \in V \text{ when } m > n \geq n_0.$$

Hence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma 3.4 : Let A, B, S and T be self maps of X satisfying (3.3.2), (3.3.3) and

$$(3.4.1) \quad A(X) \cup B(X) \subseteq S(X) \cap T(X).$$

Then the conclusion of lemma 3.3 holds.

Proof: Since in virtue of (3.4.1) we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X as in (3.3.3) and the proof is same as the proof of lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.5 : Let A, B, S and T be self maps of X satisfying (3.3.2), (3.3.3), (3.4.1) and

(3.5.1) $S(X) \cap T(X)$ is sequentially complete subspace of X .

Then (A, S) and (B, T) have coincidence points in X .

Proof : From lemma 3.4, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $S(X) \cap T(X)$. By completeness of $S(X) \cap T(X)$, $\{y_n\}$, consequently the subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Sx_{2n+2}\}$, $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ also, converges to some z in $S(X) \cap T(X)$. Hence there exists points u, v in X such that $Su = z$ and $Tv = z$.

Using (3.3.4), we have

$$p(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi(p(Su, Tx_{2n+1})),$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p(Au, z) \leq \phi(p(z, z))$. Since for $k > 0$, $\sum_1^{\infty} \phi^n(k) < \infty$, it

follows that $\phi(k) < k$. Therefore $p(Au, z) < p(z, z)$ yields $Au = z = Su$. Similarly,

$$P(Ax_{2n}, Bv) \leq \phi(p(Sx_{2n}, Tv)),$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p(z, Bv) \leq \phi(p(z, z))$ yields $Bv = z = Tv$.

Lemma 3.6 : Let S and T be self, weak compatible maps of type (A) of X . If $Su = Tu$ for some u in X then $STu = SSu = TTu = TSu$.

Proof : Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X defined by $x_n = u$; $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = Su$. By weak compatibility of type (A), we have $p(STu, TSu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(STx_n, TTx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(TSx_n, TTx_n) = 0$, which implies that $STu = TSu$. Hence $STu = SSu = TTu = TSu$.

Theorem 3.1 : Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of sequentially complete X satisfying (3.3.1), (3.3.2), (3.3.3) and

(3.1.1) One of A, B, S and T is continuous.

(3.1.2) (A, S) and (B, T) are the pairs of weak compatible maps of type (A).

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof : From lemma 3.3, $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness of X , $\{y_n\}$, consequently the subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}$, $\{Sx_{2n}\}$, $\{Bx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ also, converges to some z in X .

Let S be continuous then $Sx_{2n}, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz$ and the pair (A, S) is weak compatible of type (A), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(SAx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}) = 0 \text{ yields } ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Sz.$$

Using (3.3.4), we have

$$p(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi(p(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})),$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p(Sz, z) \leq \phi(p(Sz, z)) < p(Sz, z)$ yields $Sz = z$.

Further, $p(Az, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi(p(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}))$,

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $p(Az, z) \leq \phi(p(z, z)) < p(z, z)$ yields $Az = z = Sz$.

Now since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exists a point u in X such that $z = Az = Tu$.

Using (3.3.4), we have

$p(z, Bu) = p(Az, Bu) \leq \phi(p(Sz, Tu)) = \phi(p(z, z))$ yields $z = Bu$.

Therefore $Bu = Tu$ and the pair (B, T) is weak compatible maps of type (A) then from lemma 3.6, $BTu = BBu = TTu = TBu$, i.e. $Bz = Tz$.

Using (3.3.4), we have

$p(z, Bz) = p(Az, Bz) \leq \phi(p(Sz, Tz)) = \phi(p(z, Bz)) < p(z, Bz)$ yields $z = Bz$.

Thus $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$ i.e. z is the common fixed point of A, B, S and T .

Now for uniqueness of z , let z_1 be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T then from (3.3.4), we have

$p(z, z_1) = p(Az, Bz_1) \leq \phi(p(Sz, Tz_1)) = \phi(p(z, z_1)) < p(z, z_1)$ yields $z = z_1$.

This completes the proof.

Without making use of continuity of maps we prove our next result.

Theorem 3.2 : Let A, B, S and T be self maps of X satisfying (3.3.2), (3.3.3), (3.4.1) (3.5.1) and (3.1.2).

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof : Using conditions (3.3.2), (3.3.3), (3.4.1) and (3.5.1), from lemma 3.4-3.6, there exists point z, u, v in X such that

$z = Au = Su, z = Bv = Tv$ and

$SAu = SSu = AAu = ASu, BTv = BBv = TTv = TBv$ or $Sz = Az, Bz = Tz$.

Using (3.3.4), we have

$p(Az, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq \phi(p(Sz, Tx_{2n+1}))$,

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$p(Az, z) \leq \phi(p(Az, z)) < p(Az, z)$ yields $z = Az = Sz$.

Similarly, $p(Ax_{2n}, Bz) \leq \phi(p(Sx_{2n}, Tz))$,

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$p(z, Bz) \leq \phi(p(z, Bz)) < p(z, Bz)$ yields $z = Bz = Tz$.

Hence as in the proof of the theorem 3.1, z is the unique common fixed point of A, B, S and T . This completes the proof.

Remark. If we take $A = B, S = T =$ identity map and $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha^k, k = 1, 0 < \alpha < 1$ in our results then we get the result of Acharya [1].

Acknowledgement : The second author thanks UGC and Department of Higher Ed. of M.P. Govt. (India) for giving an opportunity to work as TRF.

REFERENCES

- [1] Acharya, S.P. (1974) ; Some results on fixed points in Uniform spaces. Yokohoma Math. J. 22, 105-116.
- [2] Browder, E.F. (1968) ; On the convergence of successive approximation for no-linear functional equations. In-dog Math. 30, 27.
- [3] Jungck, G. (1976) ; Commuting mappings and fixed points. Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, 261-263.
- [4] Jungck, G. (1986) ; Compatible mappings and common fixed points. Inter. J. Math. and Math. Sci 9(4), 771-779.
- [5] Jungck, G. Murthy, P.P. and Cho, Y.J. (1993) ; Compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points. Math Japonica, 38(2), 381-390.
- [6] Kelley, J. L. (1955) ; General topology. D. Van Nostrand Co.
- [7] Mishra, S. N. (1979) ; On sequence of maps and fixed points in Uniform spaces - II. Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 10, 699-703.
- [8] Pathak, H.K. Kang, S.M. and Baek, J.H (1995) ; Weak compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points in Menger spaces. Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 10(1), 63-67.
- [9] Pathak, H.K. Kang, S.M. and Baek, J.H. (1995) ; Weak compatible mappings of type (A) and common fixed points. Kyungpook Math. J. 35(2), 345-359.
- [10] Rhoades, B.E. (1979) ; Fixed point theorems in Uniform spaces. Publ. L' Inst. Math. 25, 153-156.
- [11] Sessa, S (1982) ; On weak commutativity conditions in fixed point consideration. Publ. Inst. Math. 32(46), 175-180.
- [12] Sharma, B.K. (1990) ; Fixed point theorems in Uniform spaces. Bull. Call. Math. Soc. 82, 533-536.