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#### Abstract

In this paper we work on factorization in $\Gamma$-integral domains, factorization in $\Gamma$-unique factorization domains and factorization in $\Gamma$ principal ideal domains. We have developed some characterizations of these above domains.


## 1. Introduction

V. Sahai and V. Bist [6] worked on factorization in integral domains. They have developed some characterizations. Haram Paley and Paul M. Weichsel [5] characterized factorization in unique factorization domains and principal ideal domains.

In this paper we generalize the above mentioned works in gamma rings due to Barnes [1]. The main theorems we have proved are the following : A non-unit element a of a $\Gamma$-PID has a factorization into primes and every $\Gamma$-PID is a $\Gamma$-UFD. Some other characterizations are studied in this note.

## 2. Preliminaries.

### 2.1 Definitions

Gamma Ring : Let $M$ and $\Gamma$ be two additive abelian groups. Suppose that there is a mapping from $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ (sending $(x, \alpha, y)$ into $x \alpha y$ ) such that
(i) $(x+y) \propto z=x \alpha z+y \alpha z$
$x(\alpha+\beta) z=x \alpha z+x \beta z$
$x \alpha(y+z)=x \alpha y+x \alpha z$
(ii) $(x \alpha y) \beta z=x \alpha(y \beta z)$,
where $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.Then $M$ is called a $\Gamma$-ring. This definition is due to Barnes [1].
Ideal of $\Gamma$-rings : A subset $A$ of the $\Gamma$-ring $M$ is a left (right) ideal of $M$ if $A$ is an additive subgroup of $M$ and $M \Gamma A=\{c \alpha a \mid c \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, a \in A\}(A \Gamma M)$ is contained in A. If $A$ is both a left and a right ideal of $M$, then we say that $A$ is an ideal or twosided ideal of $M$.

If $A$ and $B$ are both left (respectively right or two-sided) ideals of $M$, then $A+B=\{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ is clearly a left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal, called the sum of $A$ and $B$. We can say every finite sum of left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal of a $\Gamma$-ring is also a left (respectively right or two-sided) ideal.

It is clear that the intersection of any number of left (respectively right or two sided) ideal of $M$ is also a left (resprectively right or two-sided) ideal of $M$.

If $A$ is a left ideal of $M, B$ is a right ideal of $M$ and $S$ is any non-empty subset of $M$, then the set, $A \Gamma S=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \gamma s_{i} \mid a_{i} \in A, \gamma \in \Gamma, s_{i} \in S, n\right.$ is a positive integer $\}$ is a left ideal of $M$ and $S \Gamma B$ is a right ideal of $M . A \Gamma B$ is a two-sided ideal of $M$.

If $a \in M$, then the principal ideal generated by a denoted by $\langle a\rangle$ is the intersection of all ideals containing a and is the set of all finite sum of elements of the form $n a+x \alpha a+a \beta y+u \gamma a \mu v$, where $n$ is an integer $x, y, u, v$ are elements of $M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \mu$ are elements of $\Gamma$. This is the smallest ideal generated by $a$. Let $a \in M$. The smallest left (right) ideal generated by a is called the principal left (right) ideal $\langle a|(|a\rangle)$.

Identity element of a $\Gamma$-ring : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring. $M$ is called a $\Gamma$-ring with identity if there exists an element $e \in M$ such that

$$
a \gamma e=e \gamma a=a \text { for all } a \in M \text { and some } \gamma \in \Gamma .
$$

We shall frequently denote $e$ by 1 and when $M$ is a $\Gamma$-ring with identity, we shall often write $1 \in M$. Note that not all $\Gamma$-rings have an identity. When a $\Gamma$-ring has an identity, then the identity is unique.

Commutative $\Gamma$-ring : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring. $M$ is called a commutative $\Gamma$-ring if $a \gamma b=b \gamma a$ for all $a, b \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Zero Divisor : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring. An element $a \neq 0$ in $M$ is called a left zero divisor if there exists an element $b \neq 0$ in $M$ such that $a \gamma b=0$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Similarly, an element $b \neq 0$ in $M^{*}$ is called a right zero divisor if there exists an element $a \neq 0$ in $M$ such that $a \gamma b=0$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A zero divisor is an element that is either a left or a right zero divisor. If $M$ is a commutative $\Gamma$-ring, then the concepts of left and right zero divisor coincide.
$\Gamma$-integral domain : Let $M$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-ring such that $1 \in M$. If $M$ has no zero divisors, then we call $M$ a $\Gamma$-integral domain.

Principal ideal : An ideal $A$ of a $\Gamma$-integral domain $M$ is called a principal ideal of $M$ if $A$ is generated by a single element $a \in M$, that is, $A=a \gamma M$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
$\Gamma$-Principal ideal domain : A $\Gamma$-ring $M$ is called a $\Gamma$-principal ideal domain ( $\Gamma$-PID for short) if $M$ is $\Gamma$-integral domain and every ideal of $M$ is a principal ideal.

Prime ideal : Let $M$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-ring. An ideal $K$ in $M$ is called a prime ideal if whenever $a \gamma b \in K, a \in M, b \in M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then either $a \in K$ or $b \in K$.
Maximal ideal : An ideal $R$ in a $\Gamma$-ring $M$ is called a maximal ideal in $M$ if (i) $R \subset M$ and (ii) whenever $L$ is an ideal in $M$ such that $R \subseteq L \subseteq M$, then either $L=R$ or $L=M$.
Division gamma ring : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-ring. Then M is called a division $\Gamma$-ring if it has an identity element and its only non-zero ideal is itself. A commutative division $\Gamma$-ring is called a $\Gamma$-field.
Multiplicatively closed sub set of a $\Gamma$-ring : A non empty sub set $S$ of a $\Gamma$-ring $M$ is said to be multiplicatively closed if $x \gamma y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

We need the following three Theorems due to V. Sahai and V. Bist [6] in ring theory. We modify these theorems in gamma rings which are needed to our next works.
2.2 Theorem : Let $M$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-ring with identity and let $A$ be an ideal of $M$. If $S$ is a multiplicatively closed subset of $M$ with $A \cap S$ is empty, then the family $F$ of all ideals $B$ of $M$ which contain $A$ and $B \cap S$ is empty possesses a maximal element; and such a maximal element is a prime ideal of $M$.
2.3 Theorem : Let $M$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-ring with identity. An ideal $K$ of $M$ is prime if and only if $M / K$ is a $\Gamma$-integral domain.
2.4 Theorem : Let $M$ be a commutative $\Gamma$-ring with identity. Let $K$ be maximal ideal in $M$. Then $K$ is a prime ideal.

The proof of the above three theorems are similar to that of the ring theories.

## 3. Some Factorization in $\Gamma$-integral Domains

3.1 Definition : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-integral domain. If $m$ and $s$ are elements of $M$, then we say $m$ divides $s$ (in symbols $m \mid s$ ) if there exists an element $t \in M$ such that $s=m \gamma t$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. In this case $m$ is called a factor or a divisor of $s$.
3.2 Definition : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-integral domain. An element $a \in M$ is called a unit in $M$ if there exists $b \in M$ such that $a \gamma b=1$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
3.3 Definition : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-integral domain. Non-zero elements $a$ and $b$ are called associates if $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$. Note that $1 \mid m$ for every $m$ in $M$. Also, if $u$ is a unit in $M$, then $u$ and 1 are associates.
3.4 Theorem : Let $a$ and $b$ non-zero elements in a $\Gamma$-integral domain. Then
(i) $\quad a$ divides $b$ if and only if $\langle b\rangle \subseteq\langle a\rangle$
(ii) $\quad a$ and $b$ are associates if and only if $\langle a\rangle=\langle b\rangle$
(iii) $\quad a$ is a unit in $M$ if and only if $\langle a\rangle=M$.

Proof: (i) If $a \mid b$, then $b=a \gamma x$ for some $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus $b \in\langle a\rangle$ and so $\langle b\rangle \subseteq\langle a\rangle$. Conversely, if $\langle b\rangle \subseteq\langle a\rangle$, then $b \in\langle a\rangle$ and so $b=a \gamma x$ for some $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, that is, $a \mid b$.
(ii) follows easily from the definition 3.3 and (i)
(iii) follows from (ii) as a and 1 are associates and $\langle a\rangle=M$.
3.5 Theorem : Let a and $b$ be non-zero elements in a $\Gamma$-integral domain $M$. Then $a$ and $b$ are associates if and only if there exist a unit $u$ in $M$ such that $b=a \gamma u$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof : Suppose that $a$ and $b$ are associates. Then $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, there exist $u, v$ in $M$ such that $b=a \gamma u$ and $a=b \gamma v$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =b \gamma v \\
& =(a \gamma u) \gamma v \\
& =a \gamma(u \gamma v)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $a-a \gamma(u \gamma v)=0$.
Thus $a \gamma(1-u \gamma \nu)=0$.
This implies that $1-u \gamma v=0$, since $a \neq 0$. Hence $u \gamma v=1$. Therefore $u$ is a unit.
Conversely, let $b=a \gamma u$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $u$ is a unit in $M$. Then we have $a \mid b$.

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b \gamma u^{-1} & =(a \gamma u) \gamma u^{-1} \\
\Rightarrow b \gamma u^{-1} & =a \gamma\left(u \gamma u^{-1}\right) \\
\Rightarrow b \gamma u^{-1} & =a \gamma 1 \\
\Rightarrow b \gamma u^{-1} & =a . \text { Hence } a=b \gamma u^{-1} . \text { Thus } b \mid a . \text { Hence } a \text { and } b \text { are }
\end{aligned}
$$ associates. Thus the theorem is proved.

3.6 Definition : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-integral domain.
(i) An element $a$ of $M$ is irreducible if $a$ is a non-zero, non-unit element and if $a=x \gamma y$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then either $x$ or $y$ is unit.
(ii) An element $k$ of $M$ is prime if $k$ is a non-zero, non-unit element and if $k \mid x \gamma y$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $k \mid x$ or $k \mid y$.

It follows immediately from the above definition that every associate of a prime (respectively irreducible) element is also prime (respectively irreducible).
3.7 Theorem : Let $k$ be a non-zero element of a $\Gamma$-integral domain $M$. Then $k$ is a prime if and only if $\langle k\rangle$ is prime ideal.

Proof : Let $k$ be prime, then $k$ is a non-zero non-unit. So $\langle k\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle k\rangle \neq M$. If $x, y \in M$ such that $x \gamma y \in\langle k\rangle$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $k \mid x \gamma y$ and so $k \mid x$ or $k \mid y$. Thus $x \in\langle k\rangle$ or $y \in\langle k\rangle$. Therefore $\langle k\rangle$ is a prime ideal.

Conversely, let $\langle k\rangle$ be a prime ideal, since $k \neq 0$ and $\langle k\rangle \neq M$, so $k$ is not a unit. If $k \mid x \gamma y$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $x \gamma y \in\langle k\rangle$ and so $x \in\langle k\rangle$ or $y \in\langle k\rangle$. Hence $k \mid x$ or $k \mid y$. Therefore $k$ is a prime element of $M$. Thus the theorem is proved.
3.8 Theorem : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-integral domain.
(i) If k is a prime element of $M$ and $k \mid\left(a_{1} \gamma a_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma a_{t}\right)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $k \mid a_{r}$ for some index $r$.
(ii) Every prime element is irreducible.
(iii) If $k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{s}=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where elements $k_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ are primes, then $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{t}$. Further, there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_{t}$ such that $k_{i}$ and $q_{\sigma(i)}$ are associates. This means that the decomposition into primes is unique upto rearrangement of factors or multiplication of factors by units.

Proof: (i) By induction on $t$. The case $t=2$ is trivial. Now $k \mid\left(a_{1} \gamma a_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma a_{t-1}\right) \gamma a_{t}$ implies that $k \mid\left(a_{1} \gamma a_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma a_{t-1}\right)$ or $k \mid a_{t}$. If $k \mid a_{t}$, then we have proved the statement; other wise $k \mid a_{1} \gamma a_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma a_{t-1}$ and so by induction hypothesis $k \mid a_{r}$ for some $r=1,2, \ldots, t-1$.
(ii) Let $k \in M$ be a prime. If $k=a \gamma b$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $k \mid a$ or $k \mid b$. Without any loss we can assume that $k \mid b$. Then $b=k \gamma x$ for some $x \in M$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a \gamma b=a \gamma k \gamma x \\
& \Rightarrow k=a \gamma k \gamma x \\
& \Rightarrow k-a \gamma k \gamma x=0 \\
& \Rightarrow k-k \gamma a \gamma x=0, \text { since } \mathrm{M} \text { is commutative } \\
& \Rightarrow k \gamma(1-a \gamma x)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow 1-a \gamma x=0 \text {, since } k \neq 0 . \text { Thus } a \gamma x=1 \text {. Hence } a \text { is a unit. Thus } k \text { is irreducible. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Without any loss we can assume that $s \leq t$. Suppose first that $s<t$. Then $k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{s}=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $s<t$. Since each $k_{i}$ divides $q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$ by (i) there exists $q_{r_{1}}$ such that $k_{i} \mid q_{r_{1}}$ and so $q_{r_{1}}=k_{i} \gamma x_{i}$ for some $x_{i} \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore

$$
k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{s}=\left(k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{s}\right) \gamma\left(x_{1} \gamma x_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma x_{s}\right) \gamma q^{\prime}
$$

where $q^{\prime}$ is product of remaining primes from $\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{t}\right\}$. But then it implies that $\left(x_{1} \gamma x_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma x_{s}\right) \gamma q^{\prime}=1$, that is, $q^{\prime}$ is a unit. This is a contradiction. Hence $s=t$.

Now we prove by iduction on $t$ that if $k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t}=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$, then there exists $\sigma \in S_{t}$ so that $k_{i}$ and $q_{\sigma(i)}$ are associates. If $t=1$, then the hypothesis is clearly true. Suppose that the hypothesis is true for all $r<t$. Now if $k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t}=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$, then $k_{t} \mid q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$. Thus $k_{t} \mid q_{h}$ for some index $h$ and so $q_{h}=u \gamma k_{t}$ for some $u \in M$. Since $q_{h}$ is prime and so irreducible, $u$ is a unit in $M$. Therefore $q_{h}$ and $k_{t}$ are associates. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t-1} \gamma k_{t}= \\
& \Rightarrow q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{h-1} \gamma q_{h} \gamma q_{h+1} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t} \\
& \Rightarrow k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t-1} \gamma k_{t}= \\
& \Rightarrow q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{h-1} \gamma\left(u \gamma k_{t}\right) \gamma q_{h+1} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t} \\
& \Rightarrow k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t-1} \gamma k_{t}=u \gamma q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{h-1} \gamma k_{t} \gamma q_{h+1} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}, \text { since } M \text { is }
\end{aligned}
$$

commutative. Dividing by $k_{t}$ on both sides, we get.

$$
k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t-1}=u \gamma q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{h-1} \gamma q_{h+1} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}
$$

By induction hypothesis, there exists a one-one and onto mapping $\sigma$ from $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ to $\{1,2, \ldots h-1, h+1, \ldots, t\}$ such that $k_{i}$ and $q_{\sigma(i)}$ are associates. Now define $\sigma(t)=h$, to obtain the claim. Thus the theorem is proved.
3.9 Theorem : Let $k$ be a prime in a $\Gamma$-integral domain. If $q$ is an associate of $k$, then $q$ is a prime.

The proof is obvious.

## 4. Factorization in $\Gamma$-Unique Factorization domains

4.1 Definition : A $\Gamma$-integral domain $M$ is a $\Gamma$-unique factorization domain ( $\Gamma$-UFD) if it satisfies followin conditions :
(i) every non-zero, non-unit element a of $M$ can be written as $a=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{n}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$, are irreducible elements in $M$ and
(ii) if $a=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{n}$ and $a=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{t}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$, $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{t}$ are irreducibles, then $n=t$ and for some permutation $\sigma \in S_{t}$ each $q_{i}$ is an associate of $k_{\sigma(i)}$.

If we define a relation $\sim$ on a $\Gamma$-integral domain $M$ by $a \sim b$, if a is an associate of $b$, then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. Since $a$ is associate of $b$ if and only if $\langle a\rangle=\langle b\rangle$ (by Theorem 3.4). Also we have a is an associate of b if and only if $a=u \gamma b$ for some unit $u$ in $M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (by Theorem 3.5). Thus if $\bar{a}$ denotes the equivalence class of $a$, then $\bar{a}=\{u \gamma b \mid u$ is a unit in $M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-UFD. If a is a non-zero non-unit in $M$, then by part (i) of the above definition we have $a=c_{1} \gamma c_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma c_{t}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{t}$ are irreducibles in $M$. If we collect all associates of these irreducibles together, then it is easy to see that we can write a as $a=u \gamma\left(k_{1} \gamma\right)^{m_{1}} k_{1} \gamma\left(k_{2} \gamma\right)^{m_{2}} k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(k_{n} \gamma\right)^{n^{n}} k_{n}$, where $u$ is a unit, $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}$ are irreducibles such that no two of these are associates. More precisely, $\bar{k}_{1}, \bar{k}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{k}_{n}$ are distinct equivalence classes. Further, part (ii) of the above definition says that these equivalence classes and positive integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}$ are uniquely determined by $a$. Thus if also $a=v \gamma\left(q_{1} \gamma\right)^{s_{1}} q_{1} \gamma\left(q_{2} \gamma\right)^{s_{2}} q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(q_{h} \gamma\right)^{s h} q_{h}$ with $v$, a unit and $\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{q}_{k}$ distinct equivalence classes, then $h=n$ and for some $\sigma \in S_{n}$ we have $\bar{k}_{i}=\bar{q}_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i=1$, $2, \ldots, n$.
4.2 Theorem : Let $M$ be $\Gamma$-UFD. An element a of $M$ is prime if and only if it is irreducible. Proof: By Theorem 3.8, if a is a prime element of $M$, then it is also irreducible.

If 1 is the $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $A$, then we say that the set $A$ is relatively prime. Note that any two gcd's of $A$ are associates. Thus the gcd, if it exists, is well defined up to multiplication by a unit.
4.5 Theorem : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-UFD and let $A$ be a non-empty subset of $M \backslash\{0\}$. Then there exists a gcd of $A$.

Proof: Since $M$ is a $\Gamma$-UFD, each $a \in A$ can be written in the form $a=u \gamma\left(c_{1} \gamma\right)^{h_{1}} c_{1} \gamma\left(c_{2} \gamma\right)^{h_{2}} c_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(c_{r}\right)^{h_{r}} c_{r}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $u$ is a unit, $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{r}$ are irreducibles in $M$ with no two of these irreducibles being associates and $h_{i} \geq 1$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Define $D(a)=\left\{\bar{c}_{1}, \bar{c}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{c}_{r}\right\}$, where $\bar{c}$ is the equivalence class of $c$ with equivalence relation $\sim$ on $M$ defined by $a \sim b$ if and only if $a$ is an associate of b. Clearly $D(a)$ is finite. Observe that $D(a)$ is empty if and only if $a$ is a unit. Let $D=\cap\{D(a) \mid a \in A\}$. Since each $D(a)$ is finite, so $D$ is a finite set.

If $a^{\prime} \in A$ is a unit, then a gcd of $A$ is 1 . Since if $e \in M$ and $e \mid a$ for all $a \in A$, then in particular $e \mid a^{\prime}$ and so $e$ is a unit. Thus $e \mid 1$.

If all element of $A$ are non-units, then $D(a)$ is non-empty for all $a \in A$. First assume that $D$ is empty. In this case we claim that 1 is a god of $A$. For this, it is sufficient to show that if $e \in M$ and $e \mid a$ for all $a \in A$, then $e$ is a unit. If $e$ is not unit, then there exists an irreducible $c \in M$ such that $c \mid e$. Since $e \mid a$ for all $a \in A$, so $c \mid a$ for all $a \in A$. Thus $\bar{c} \in D$, a contradiction as $D$ is empty.

Now assume that $D=\left\{\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{q}_{t}\right\}$, a non-empty set with $t$ distinct elements. Then to each $a \in A$, there exists positive integers $m_{i}(a)$ such that $\left(k_{i} \gamma\right)^{m_{i}(a)} k_{i} \mid a$ and $\left(k_{i} \gamma\right)^{m_{i}(a)+1} k_{i}$ does not divide a for all $i=1,2, \ldots, t$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Clearly, then every $a \in A$ can be written as $a=\left(k_{1} \gamma\right)^{m_{1}(a)} k_{1} \gamma\left(k_{2} \gamma\right)^{m_{2}(a)} k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(k_{t} \gamma\right)^{m_{t}(a)} k_{t} \gamma a^{\prime}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where $a^{\prime} \in M$. Let $m_{i} \min =\left\{m_{i}(a) \mid a \in A\right\}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, t$ and
$d=\left(k_{1} \gamma\right)^{m_{1}} k_{1} \gamma\left(k_{2} \gamma\right)^{m_{2}} k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(k_{i} \gamma\right)^{m_{t}} k_{t}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $d \mid a$ for all $a \in A$. Now we will show that $d$ is a gcd of $A$. Let $e \in M$ and $e \mid a$ for all $a \in A$. If $e$ is a unit, then clearly $e \mid d$. If $e$ is a non-unit, then $e=v \gamma\left(q_{1} \gamma\right)^{s_{1}} q_{1} \gamma\left(q_{2} \gamma\right)^{s_{2}} q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(q_{n} \gamma\right)^{s_{n}} q_{n}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where v is a unit, $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}$ are irreducible such that no two of these are associates an $s_{i} \geq 1$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Since $q_{j} \mid e$ so $q_{j} \mid a$ for all $a \in A$. Thus $\bar{q}_{j} \in D$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Therefore, $\left\{\bar{q}_{1}, \bar{q}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{q}_{n}\right\} \subseteq D$ so $n \leq t$. Also, it shows that each $q_{j}$ is an associate of some $k_{i j}$. Thus $q_{j}=u_{j} \gamma k_{i_{j}}$ for some unit $u_{j}$ in $M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Now $e=v \gamma\left(q_{1} \gamma\right)^{s_{1}} q_{1} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(q_{n} \gamma\right)^{s_{n}} q_{n}=w \gamma\left(k_{i_{1}} \gamma\right)^{s_{1}} k_{i_{1}} \gamma\left(k_{i_{2}} \gamma\right)^{s_{2}} k_{i_{2}} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(k_{i_{n}} \gamma\right)^{s_{n}} k_{i_{n}}$, where $w=v \gamma\left(u_{1} \gamma\right)^{s_{1}} u_{1} \gamma\left(u_{2} \gamma\right)^{s_{2}} u_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma\left(u_{n} \gamma\right)^{s_{n}} u_{n}$, a unit in $M$. Now again as $\left(k_{i_{j}} \gamma\right)^{\beta_{j}} k_{i_{j}} \mid a$ for all $a \in A$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, n$, by definition of $m_{i, j}$, we get $s_{j} \leq m_{i_{j}}$. Therefore eld. Hence the theorem is proved.

## 5. Factorization in G-Principal ideal domains

5.1 Theorem : Let $c$ be a non-zero element in a $\Gamma$-PID M. Then $c$ is irreducible if and only if $\langle c\rangle$ is a maximal ideal of $M$.
Proof : Let $c \in M$ is irreducible. Then $\langle c\rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle c\rangle \neq M$ as $c$ is non-zero and nonunit. Now suppose that there exists $a$ in $M$ such that $\langle c\rangle \subseteq\langle a\rangle \subseteq M$ and $\langle c\rangle \neq\langle a\rangle$. Then $c=a \gamma x$ for some $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If $x$ is a unit, then $c$ and $a$ are associates (by Theorem 3.4), so $\langle c\rangle=\langle a\rangle$, a contradiction. Hence a must be a unit. Therefore $\langle a\rangle=M$. Hence $\langle c\rangle$ is a maximal ideal of $M$.

Conversely, let $\langle c\rangle$ is a maximal ideal in M . Then $c$ is not a unit. If $a \in M$ with $\langle c\rangle \subseteq\langle a\rangle \subseteq M$ and $\langle c\rangle \neq M$. Then $\langle c\rangle=\langle a\rangle$. Therefore $c=a \gamma u$ for some unit $u$ in $M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (by Theorem 3.5). Hence $c$ is irreducible. Thus the theorem is proved.
5.2 Theorem : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID and $A$ be a non empty subset of $M\{0\}$.
(i) An element $d$ of $M$ is a gcd of $A$ if and only if $d$ is a generator of $\langle a\rangle$, an ideal of $M$ generated by $A$.
(ii) If $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}$ is finite, then every $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $A$ is of the form $m_{1} \gamma a_{1}+m_{2} \gamma a_{2}+\ldots m_{s} \gamma a_{s}$, where $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s} \in M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof: (i) Suppose that d is generator of $\langle A\rangle$. Then for any $a \in A, d \mid a$. Also as $d \in\langle A\rangle$, so $d=m_{1} \gamma a_{1}+m_{2} \gamma a_{2}+\ldots m_{t} \gamma a_{t}$, for some $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t} \in M, a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots, a_{t} \in A$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore, if $e \mid a$ for all $a \in A$ then $e \mid d$. Hence $d$ is $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $A$.

Conversely, let $d$ is gcd of $A$ and $\langle A\rangle=\langle c\rangle$, then as $d \mid a$ for all $a \in A$ so $a \in\langle d\rangle$. Therefore $\langle A\rangle \subseteq\langle d\rangle$, that is, $\langle c\rangle \subseteq\langle d\rangle$. Now if $a \in A$, then as $a \in\langle A\rangle=\langle c\rangle$ so $c \mid a$. Since $d$ is a gcd of $A$, we have $c \mid d$, that is, $\langle d\rangle \subseteq\langle c\rangle$. Therefore $\langle d\rangle=\langle c\rangle=\langle A\rangle$. Hence $d$ is a generator of $\langle A\rangle$.
(ii) is a straightforward consequence of (i). Thus the theorem is proved. 5.3 Theorem : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Then an element $k$ of $M$ is prime if and only if $k$ is irreducible.

Proof : By Theorem 3.8, we get if $k$ is prime then it is irreducible. By Theorem 5.1, we get if $k$ is irreducible, then $\langle k\rangle$ is maximal. So, $M /\langle k\rangle$ is a $\Gamma$-field. In particular $M /\langle k\rangle$ is a $\Gamma$-intergral domain. Therefore $\langle k\rangle$ is a prime ideal (by Theorem 2.3). By Theorem 3.7 we get, $k$ is prime. Hence the theorem is proved.
5.4 Lemma : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Let $k$ be a prime and suppose that $k$ does not divide $a$. Then there exist elements s and t in M such that $1=s \gamma k+t \gamma a$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proof : Let $A$ be the ideal generated by $k$ and $a$, that is, $A=\{x \gamma k+y \gamma a \mid x \in M, y \in M$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Since $A$ is a principal ideal, there exists $c \in A$ such that $A=\langle c\rangle$ and so we can find $s$ and $t$ such that $s \gamma k+t \gamma a=c$. Since $\langle k\rangle \subseteq A=\langle c\rangle$, by Lemma 3.4, $c \mid k$. Similarly $c \mid a$. Since $k$ is a prime, $c$ is either a unit or an associate of $k$. In the later case $c=u \gamma k, u$ a unit for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Hence $c \mid a$ implies $k \mid a$. This is impossible, so $c$ is a unit. Thus there exists $e \in A$ such that $e \gamma_{c}=1$. Now

$$
(s \gamma k+t \gamma a)=c
$$

Therefore, $\quad e \gamma(s \gamma k+t \gamma a)=e \gamma c$

$$
\Rightarrow e \gamma s \gamma k+e \gamma t \gamma a=e \gamma c
$$

$$
\Rightarrow(e \gamma s) \gamma k+(e \gamma t) \gamma a=1
$$

$\Rightarrow s \gamma k+t \gamma a=1$, since $e$ is the identity of $M$. Thus the lemma is proved.
5.5 Lemma : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Let $\left\{A_{n} \mid n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a chain of ideals in $M$, that is, $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq A_{3} \subseteq \ldots$. Then there exists an integer $t$ such that. $A_{s}=A_{t}$ for all $s \geq t$.

Proof : Let $A_{n}=\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle$ and let $A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$. Since $A_{s} \subseteq A_{h}, s \leq h$, we can prove easily that $A$ is an ideal of $M$. For let $a, b \in A$. Then clearly there exists $s$ such that $a \in A_{s}$ and $b \in A_{s}$. Since $A_{s}$ is an ideal of $\mathrm{M}, a-b \in A_{s}$. Hence $a-b \in A$. It is also easy to prove that if $a \in A, m \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, then $m \gamma a, a \gamma m \in A$. Since $A$ is an ideal of $M$, there exists an element $c \in A$ such that $A=\langle c\rangle$. But since $A$ is the union of sets, $c \in A$ for
some $t$. Thus $A \subseteq A_{t}$. Hence $A_{s} \subseteq A_{t}$ for all $s \geq t$. Since also $A_{s} \subseteq A_{t}$ for all $s \geq t$. Hence $A_{s}=A_{t}$ for all $s \geq t$. Thus the lemma is proved.
5.6 Lemma : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Let $B$ be an ideal of $M, B \neq M$. Then there exists a maximal ideal $R$ of $M$ such that $B \subseteq R$. Moreover, $R=\langle k\rangle$, where $k$ is a prime.

Proof : Let $A_{1}=B$. If $B$ is not a maximal ideal, then there exists an ideal $A_{2}$ such that $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq M$. If $A_{2}$ is not maximal, then there exists and ideal $A_{3}$ such that $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq A_{3} \subseteq M$. By Lemma 5.5 , this process must stop after a finite number of steps. Thus there does not exist a maximal ideal $R$ in $M$ such that $\mathrm{B} \subseteq R$. By Theorem $2.4, R$ is a prime ideal. Now let $R=\langle k\rangle$. If $k$ is not a prime, then $k=a \gamma b$ for some non-zero non-units $a$ and $b$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Also $b \notin\langle k\rangle$, for if $b \in\langle k\rangle$, then $b=c \gamma k$; for some $c$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
k & =a \gamma b \\
& =a \gamma(c \gamma k) \\
& =(a \gamma c) \gamma k
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $k-(a \gamma c) \gamma k=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow(1-a \gamma c) \gamma k=0 \\
& \Rightarrow 1-a \gamma c=0, \text { since } k \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $1=a \gamma c$. Therefore a is a unit, a contradiction. Thus $b \notin\langle k\rangle$ and similarly $a \notin\langle k\rangle$. But this contradicts that $\langle k\rangle$ is a prime ideal. Thus $k$ is a prime. Hence the lemma is proved.
5.7 Lemma : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Let $a \in M, a \neq 0$, a not a unit. Then there exists a prime $k \in M$ such that $k \mid a$.

Proof : Since a is not a unit, $\langle a\rangle \subseteq M$. Hence by Lemma 5.6, $\langle a\rangle \subseteq\langle k\rangle$ for some ideal $\langle k\rangle$, where $k$ is a prime. Then by Theorem 3.4(i), $k \mid a$. Hence the lemma is proved.
51 Thearem = Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Let $a \in M, a \neq 0$, a not a unit. Then a has a Sunuriution into primes in $M$.
Froof : By Lemma 5.7, there exists a prime $k_{1}$ such that $k_{1} \mid a$, that is, $a=k_{1} \gamma a_{1}$ for some unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

If $a_{1}$ is a unit, then a is a prime by Theorem 3.9 and the proof is completed.
If $a_{1}$ is not a prime, by Lemma 5.7, there exists a prime $k_{2}$ such that $a_{1}=k_{2} \gamma a_{2}$. Again if $a_{2}$ is a unit, then $k_{2} \gamma a_{2}$ is a prime. Hence $a=k_{1} \gamma\left(k_{2} \gamma a_{2}\right)=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma a_{2}$ is a product of primes.

If $a_{2}$ is not a prime, we find that $a_{2}=k_{3} \gamma a_{3}, k_{3}$ is a prime. Continuing, we find primes $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}, \ldots$ and elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}, \ldots$ such that $a a_{10}$ $i=2,3, \ldots$, . Thus by Theorem 3.4(i), $\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle a_{2}\right\rangle \subseteq\left\langle a_{3}\right\rangle \subseteq \ldots$. .By Lemma 5.5, there exists an integer $t$ such $\left\langle a_{t}\right\rangle=\left\langle a_{t+1}\right\rangle=\ldots$. Thus $\left.a_{t+1}=u \gamma a_{t}=u r^{k}\right]_{2} a_{s e s}$ Hence $u \gamma k_{t+1}=1$. Thus $k_{t+1}$ is a unit, which contradicts that $k_{t+1}$ is a prime. Therefore $a_{t}$ must be a prime. Hence $a=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{t} \gamma a_{t}$ is a factorization of a into prines fir some unique $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Thus the theorem is proved.
5.9 Theorem : Every $\Gamma$-PID is $\Gamma$-UFD.

Proof : Let $M$ be a $\Gamma$-PID. Theorem 5.8, established the existence of ane prine factorization for an element $a \in M, a \neq 0$, a not a unit.

Suppose now that $k$ is a prime and $k \mid a \gamma b$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. lfkdies andinide $a$, by Lemma 5.4 , we get $1=s \gamma k+t \gamma a$ for some $s, t \in M$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ The

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=(s \gamma k+t \gamma a) \\
\Rightarrow & 1 \gamma b=(s \gamma k+t \gamma a) \gamma b \\
\Rightarrow & b=s \gamma k \gamma b+t \gamma a \gamma b \\
\Rightarrow & b=s \gamma(b \gamma k)+t \gamma a \gamma b, \text { since } M \text { is commutative } \\
\Rightarrow & b=(s \gamma b) \gamma k+t \gamma(a \gamma b) . \text { Since } k \mid(s \gamma b) \gamma k \text { and } k|t \gamma(a \gamma b), k|(s \gamma b) \gamma k+t \gamma(a \gamma b)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $k \mid b$.
Now let $a=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{m}=q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{n}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be two prime factorizations for $a$. Then $k_{1} \mid\left(q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \ldots \gamma q_{n}\right)$ and so $k_{1} \mid q_{i}$ for some $i$. We may assume that $i=1$. Since $q_{1}$ is a prime, $k_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ must be associates. The theorem now follows by induction. If $m=1$, then $a$ is a prime. Hence we have $n=1$ and also $k_{1}=q_{1}$. Thus, we may assume $m>1$ and $n>1$. Now it is clear that $k_{1}\left(q_{1} \gamma q_{2} \ldots \gamma q_{n}\right)$ and so by Theorem 3.8(i), $k_{1} \mid q_{h}$ for some $h$. But since $q_{h}$ is a prime, $k_{1}=q_{h}$. We may assume that the $q_{i}$ 's are so arranged that $h=1$. Thus $k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{m}=k_{1} \gamma q_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{n}$.

Since $k_{1} \neq 0$, we may cancel and get $k_{2} \gamma k_{3} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{m}=q_{2} \gamma q_{3} \gamma \ldots \gamma q_{n}=a^{\prime}$. But $1<a^{\prime}<a$ and by our induction hypothesis we may conclude (i) that $m-1=n-1$ and (ii) that the factorization $k_{2} \gamma k_{3} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{m}$ is just a rearrangement of $q_{i}$ 's $i=2,3, \ldots, m$. Thus $m=n$ and $\gamma$ is also unique, since $k_{1}=q_{1}$, we have proved the theorem for $m$. Hence the expression $a=k_{1} \gamma k_{2} \gamma \ldots \gamma k_{m}$ into primes is unique. Therefore $M$ is a $\Gamma$-UFD. Thus the theorem is proved.
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