

## FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVE OPERATORS INVOLVING THE MULTIVARIABLE $H$ -FUNCTIONS

Priyanka Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Neelam Pandey<sup>2</sup> and Shiv Kant Tiwari<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, A. P. S. University, Rewa (M.P.)-486003, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Model Science College, Rewa (M.P.)-486001, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Lukhdhirji Engineering College, Morbi (Gujarat)-363642, India

Email: <sup>1</sup>[priyanka103gupta@gmail.com](mailto:priyanka103gupta@gmail.com), <sup>2</sup>[dr.pandeyneelam@gmail.com](mailto:dr.pandeyneelam@gmail.com)

<sup>3\*</sup>[shivkant.math@gmail.com](mailto:shivkant.math@gmail.com) (Corresponding author)

Received on: 05/03/2023

Accepted on: 15/04/2023

### Abstract

*The wholesome theme of this present paper is to derive certain interesting results of general class of polynomials and its relation with Saigö fractional operators of multivariable  $H$ -functions, as the author has tried his best. Very fast, we established two results that given the product of multivariable  $H$ -functions and a general class of polynomials that have been given in Saigö operators. Because of the general nature of the Saigö operator, a general class of polynomials and multivariable  $H$ -functions, a large number of new and well-known results involving Riemann-Liouville and Erdélyi-Kober, fractional differential operators and several special functions notably generalized Mittag-Leffler function and Wright hypergeometric function, Whittaker function, etc. follow as special cases of our main result.*

**Keywords:** Saigö Fractional differential operators, Erdélyi-Kober, General Polynomials and Riemann-Liouville, Multivariable  $H$ -functions, and Mittag-Leffler functions.

**2010 AMS classification:** 33C70, 26A33, 33C60, 33C45

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fractional differential operators involving special functions have found significant importance and applications in various sub-field of applicable mathematical analysis. In the last four decades, some workers like Love [7], McBride [5], Ram and Kumar [13], Khan [6], Kalla and Saxena [21], Saigö [18], Kilbas and Sebastian [4], Saxena et al. [19], Kiryakova [24,25] and Kilbas [1], etc., have studied in the depth of properties, applications and different extensions of various hypergeometric operators of fractional differentiation. A detailed account of such operators along with their properties and applications can be found in the research monographs by Samko, Kilbas and Marichev [22], Miller and Ross [14], Kiryalova [24,25], Kilbas, Srivastava, and Trujillo [9] and Debnath and Bhatta [1]. Generalization of the hypergeometric fractional differentials, including the Saigö operator [16, 17, 18], has been introduced by Samko et al. [22], and Kilbas and Saigö [3] as follows: The multivariable  $H$ -functions, introduced by Srivastava and Panda [8], is an extension of the multivariable  $G$ -functions. The multivariable  $H$ -functions includes Fox's  $H$ -function, Meijer's  $G$ -function, the generalized Lauricella function of Srivastava and Daoust [11], Apell function, the Whittaker function as so on. The multivariable  $H$ -functions is defined and represented in the following manner:

$$H[z_1, \dots, z_r] = H_{p,q}^{0,n: m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left[ \begin{matrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1,p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1,p_1} ; \dots ; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1,p_r} \\ (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1,q} : (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1,q_1} ; \dots ; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1,q_r} \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^r} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \phi_i(\xi_1) \dots \phi_r(\xi_r) \psi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) z_1^{\xi_1} \dots z_r^{\xi_r} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_r, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and

$$\psi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=1}^q \Gamma(1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} \xi_i)},$$

$$\beta_j^{(1)} = \beta_j^{(r)}, \beta_j^{(2)} = \beta_j^{(r)} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\phi_i(\xi_i) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma(d_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma(1 - c_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}{\prod_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - d_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} \xi_i) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(c_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} \xi_i)}, \quad \text{for all } i \in (1, \dots, r). \quad (1.3)$$

The  $M$ -Series is a particular case of  $\bar{H}$ -function of Inayat Hussain. Some properties and applications of  $M$ -Series and its special cases have been studied by [1], [3], [14].

Comprehensive  $M$ -function play an important role in the solution of integral equations and fractional order differential. Recently, generalized of  $M$ -Series as the function is defined by in terms of the power series

$${}^{\alpha}_p M_q^{\beta}(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z) = {}^{\alpha}_p M_q^{\beta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_q)_k} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k + \beta)}, \quad (1.4)$$

$z, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\alpha) > 0$ , where,  $z, \vartheta, \mu \in \mathbb{C}, \Re(\vartheta) > 0$  and  $(a_j)_k$  and  $(b_j)_k$  are known as Pochhammer symbols.

## 2. Fractional Calculus Operators

Let The generalized fractional differential operators were defined and investigated by Saigö [18] as follow

$$\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \left(I_{0+}^{(-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta-n)} f\right)(x) \quad (2.1)$$

$$(0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha), n = [\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)] + 1)$$

$$\left(D_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left(\frac{-d}{dx}\right)^n \left(I_{-}^{(-\alpha+n, -\beta-n, \alpha+\eta)} f\right)(x) \quad (2.2)$$

$$(0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha), n = [\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)] + 1)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$  and  $\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\right), \left(D_{-}^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}\right)$  are known to us as fractional differential generalized operators presented by Saigö [18].

When  $\beta = -\alpha$  the above operators (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to the following classical Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operators of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, (\operatorname{Re}(\alpha) \geq 0)$ [2, p. 80, Eqs. (2.2.3), (2.2.4)]:

$$\left(D_{0+}^{\alpha, -\alpha, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left\{D_{0+}^{\alpha} f\right\}\{x\} \equiv \left\{\frac{d}{dx}\right\}^n \frac{1}{\Gamma\{n-\alpha\}} \int_0^x \frac{f\{t\} dt}{\{x-t\}^{\{\alpha-n+1\}}}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(0 < x, n = [\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)] + 1)$$

$$\text{and } \left(D_{-}^{\alpha, -\alpha, \eta} f\right)(x) = \left(D_{-}^{\alpha} f\right)(x) \equiv \left(\frac{-d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{f(t) dt}{(x-t)^{(\alpha-n+1)}}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(0 < x, n = [Re(\alpha)] + 1).$$

Again, if  $\beta = 0$  the operators (1.1) and (1.2) reduce to as the Erdélyi-Kober fractional differential operators defined below [2, p. 109, Eqs. (2.6.35) (2.2.36)]:

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha,0,\eta} f)(x) = (D_{\eta,\alpha}^{0+} f)(x) \equiv x^{-\eta} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{t^{(\alpha+\eta)} f(t) dt}{(x-t)^{(\alpha-n+1)}}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$(0 < x, n = [Re(\alpha)] + 1)$$

$$\text{and } (D_{-}^{\alpha,0,\eta} f)(x) = (D_{\eta,\alpha}^{-} f)(x) \equiv x^{(\alpha+\eta)} \left(\frac{-d}{dx}\right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_x^{\infty} \frac{t^{-\eta} f(t) dt}{(t-x)^{(\alpha-n+1)}}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$(0 < x, n = [Re(\alpha)]).$$

The following lemma is required in the proof of our main results (see [4]):

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $0 \leq Re(\alpha), -\min[0, Re(\alpha, \beta + \eta)] < Re(\sigma)$  then

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{\sigma+\beta+1} \frac{\Gamma\sigma \Gamma(\sigma+\alpha+\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(\sigma+\beta)\Gamma(\sigma+\eta)}, \quad x > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

In particular, for  $x > 0$ ,

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{(\sigma-\beta-1)} \frac{\Gamma\sigma}{\Gamma(\sigma-\alpha)} \quad (0 \leq Re(\alpha), Re(\sigma) > 0). \quad (2.8)$$

$$(D_{\eta,\alpha}^{+} t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{\sigma-1} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+\alpha+\beta+\eta)}{\Gamma(\sigma+\eta)} \quad (2.9)$$

$$(0 \leq Re(\alpha), -Re(\alpha + \eta) < Re(\sigma)).$$

**Lemma 2.2** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $0 \leq Re(\alpha), (1 + \min[Re(-\beta - \eta), Re(\alpha + \eta)]) > Re(\sigma), n = Re(\alpha) + 1$  then

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha,\beta,\eta} t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{\sigma+\beta-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-\sigma-\beta)\Gamma(1-\sigma+\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(1-\sigma+\eta-\beta)\Gamma(1-\sigma)}, \quad x > 0 \quad (2.10)$$

In particular, for  $x > 0$

$$(D_{-}^{\alpha} t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{(\sigma+\alpha-1)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\sigma+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\sigma)}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$[0 \leq Re(\alpha), (1 + Re(\alpha) - n) > Re(\sigma)].$$

$$(D_{\eta,\alpha}^- t^{\sigma-1})(x) = x^{(\sigma-1)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\sigma+\alpha+\eta)}{\Gamma(1-\sigma+\eta)}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$[0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha), [(1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \eta) - n > \operatorname{Re}(\sigma))].$$

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we establish two Theorems involving the products of multivariable H-functions and the general class of polynomials associated with the Siago fractional differential operators.  $[(1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \eta) - n > \operatorname{Re}(\sigma)]$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \rho, \sigma, \lambda_j, \eta_i, z_i, a, b, c_j \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Re(\delta) > 0$  and  $u_j > 0, v_i > 0, [i = (1, \dots, r); j = (1, \dots, s)]$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{D_{0+}^{\alpha,\beta,\delta} (t^{\rho-1} (b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^\mu [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}] \\ & \quad H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}]\}(x) \\ & = b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_{k,\dots,(a_p)_k}}{(b_1)_{k,\dots,(b_p)_k}} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\ & \quad H_{p+3,q+3;p_1,q_1;\dots;p_r,q_r;0,1}^{0,n+3;m_1,n_1;\dots;m_r,n_r;1,0} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z_1 \frac{x^{v_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \frac{x^{v_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} E, (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1,p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1,p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1,p_r}; \\ (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1,q}, E^*: (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1,q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1,q_r}; (0,1) \end{array} \right. \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $E$  and  $E^*$  are defined simply by the following arrays:

$$\begin{aligned} E & = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (1 - \rho - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1), \\ & \quad (1 - \rho - \alpha - \beta - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } E^* & = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (1 - \rho - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1), \\ & \quad (1 - \rho - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1). \end{aligned}$$

The sufficient conditions of validity of (3.1) are,

$$|\arg z_i| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Omega_i, \quad \text{where } \Omega_i > 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, r \text{ and} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Omega_i = - \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^q \beta_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \gamma_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \gamma_j^{(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \delta_j^{(i)} - \sum_{j=m_i+1}^{q_i} \delta_j^{(i)}.$$

$$\Re(\mu) > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\Re(\mu) + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > \max\{0, \Re(\beta - \delta)\},$$

$$\Re(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^r \eta_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > \max\{0, \Re(\beta - \delta)\}$$

and 
$$\left| \frac{a}{b} x \right| < 1. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the left-hand side of (2.1). Using (1.4), (1.1), we get by and expansion of the term of  $(b - at)^{-\zeta}$ , we get

$$(b - at)^{-\zeta} = b^{-\zeta} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\zeta)_s}{s!} \left( \frac{ax}{b} \right)^s, \quad \text{where } \left( \frac{ax}{b} < 1 \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Interchanging the summations and the integrals, after a little simplification, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_{k, \dots, (a_p)_k}}{(b_1)_{k, \dots, (b_p)_k} \Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} \frac{x^{ujk}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \eta_{jk}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{r+1}} \int_{L_1} \dots \int_{L_r} \phi_1(\xi_1) \dots \phi_r(\xi_r) \psi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) z_1^{\xi_1} \dots z_r^{\xi_r} d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_r$$

$$\int_{L_{r+1}} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^r \eta_i \xi_i + \xi_{r+1})}{\Gamma(1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^r \eta_i \xi_i) \Gamma(1 + \xi_{r+1})} (-a/b)^{\xi_{r+1}} d\xi_{r+1}$$

$$(D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \delta} t^{\rho + \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_{jk} + \sum_{i=1}^r \eta_i \xi_i + \xi_{r+1} - 1})(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Then applying (2.7) to the last integral in (3.6) and interpreting the involved Mellin-Barnes contour integrals in terms of the multivariable H-functions of r+1 variable, we obtain the right-hand side of (3.1).

If we put  $\beta = -\alpha$  in **Theorem 3.1** and use (2.8), we arrive at the following new and interesting corollary concerning Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operators defined by (2.3) :

**Corollary 3.1**  $\{D_{0+}^{\alpha}(t^{\rho-1}(b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^{\mu} [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}]\}$  (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}] \} (x) \\
 & = b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho-\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_p)_k} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\
 & H_{p+2, q+2: m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0}^{0, n+2: m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \frac{x^{v_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \frac{x^{v_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} E_1, (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r}; \\ (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1, q}, E_2: (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r}; (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\
 & E_1 = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (1 - \rho - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1) \\
 & E_2 = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (1 - \rho + \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, if we choose  $\beta = 0$  in **Theorem 3.1** and use (2.4) we get the following result which is also believed to be new and pertains to Erdélyi -Kober fractional differential operators defined by (2.5):

**Corollary 3.2**  $\{D_{\delta, \alpha}^+(t^{\rho-1}(b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^{\mu} [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}]\}$  (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}] \} (x) \\
 & = b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_p)_k} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\
 & H_{p+2, q+2: m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0}^{0, n+2: m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0} \left[ \begin{array}{c} z_1 \frac{x^{v_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \frac{x^{v_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} E_1^*, (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r}; - \\ (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1, q}, E_1^{**}: (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r}; (0, 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\
 & E_1^* = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (1 - \rho - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1) \\
 & E_1^{**} = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (1 - \rho + \alpha - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The sufficient conditions of validity of (3.7) are

$$0 < \Re(\alpha) \quad (3.9)$$

$$\Re(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > -R(\delta),$$

$$\Re(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > -R(\delta)$$

and the conditions (3.2),(3.3) and (3.4) in **Theorem 3.1** are also satisfied.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \rho, \sigma, \lambda_j, \eta_i, z_i, a, b, c_j \in \Re(\delta) > 0$ , and  $u_j > 0, v_i > 0, (i \in \{1, \dots, r\}; j \in \{1, \dots, s\})$ . Then we have

$$\{D_-^{\alpha, \beta, \delta} (t^{\rho-1} (b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^\mu [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}] \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}](x) \\ & = b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_k \dots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \dots (b_p)_k} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\ & H_{p+3, q+3; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r; 0, 1}^{0, n+3; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z_1 \frac{x^{\gamma_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \frac{x^{\gamma_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} X \end{array} \middle| F, (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, p}; (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r}; \right. \\ & \left. F^*: (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1, q}; (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r}; (0, 1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where, for simplicity  $F$  &  $F^*$  are denoted by the following arrays:

$$F = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (\rho + \beta + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1)$$

$$(\rho - \alpha - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1).$$

$$F^* = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (\rho + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1),$$

$$(\rho + \beta - \delta + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1).$$

The sufficient conditions of validity of (3.7) are

$$0 < \Re(\alpha), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\Re(\rho) + \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > -R(\delta) \quad (3.12)$$



$$\Re(\sigma) + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_i} \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(d_j^{(i)})}{\delta_j^{(i)}} \right) \right\} > -R(\delta) \quad (3.13)$$

and the condition (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) in **Theorem 3.1** are also satisfied.

**Proof:** We easily obtain the **Theorem 3.2** after a small simplification on making use of similar lines as adopted in **Theorem 3.1** and using **Lemma 1.3**.

If we put  $\beta = -\alpha$  in **Theorem 3.2**, and using (2.11), we arrive at the following new and interesting corollary concerning Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operators defined by (2.4) :

$$\text{Corollary 3.5} \quad \{D_-^\alpha (t^{\rho-1} (b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^\mu [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}] \quad (3.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}](x) \\ &= b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho-\alpha-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_{k, \dots, (a_p)_k}}{(b_1)_{k, \dots, (b_p)_k}} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\ & H_{p+2, q+2; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0}^{0, n+2; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 0, 1} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z_1 \frac{x^{v_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ z_r \frac{x^{v_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{\alpha}{b} x \end{array} \right. E_2^* (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1,p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1,p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1,p_r}; \\ & \left. E_2^{**} (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1,q} : (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1,q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1,q_r}; (0, 1) \right] \\ & E_2^* = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (\beta + \rho + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1) \\ & E_2^{**} = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (\rho + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Again, if we  $\beta = 0$  in **Theorem 3.2**, and use (2.12), we get the following result which is also believed to be new and pertains to Erdélyi-Kober fractional differential operators defined by (2.6) :

$$\text{Corollary 3.4} \quad \{D_{\delta, \alpha}^+ (t^{\rho-1} (b-at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^\mu [c_j t^{u_j} (b-at)^{-\lambda_j}] \quad (3.15)$$

$$H[z_1 t^{v_1} (b-at)^{-\eta_1} \dots z_r t^{v_r} (b-at)^{-\eta_r}](x)$$

$$= b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_{k, \dots, (a_p)_k}}{(b_1)_{k, \dots, (b_p)_k}} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k}$$

$$H_{p+2, q+2; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; 1, 0}^{0, n+2; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r; 0, 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} Z_1 \frac{x^{v_1}}{b^{\eta_1}} \\ \vdots \\ Z_r \frac{x^{v_r}}{b^{\eta_r}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \middle| F_1^*, (a_j, \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, p} : (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r}; (b_j, \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1, q}, F_1^{**}: (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r}; (0, 1) \right]$$

$$F_1^* = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 1), (\rho - \alpha - \delta + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1)$$

$$F_1^{**} = (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, 0), (\rho + \beta - \delta + \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; v_1, \dots, v_r, 1).$$

The conditions of validity of the above results follow easily from the conditions given with **Theorem 3.4**.

#### 4. Special Cases and Applications

We begin by remarking the following facts:

- (i) The generalized fractional integral operators used in **Theorem 3.1** and **3.2** are unified ones in nature.
- (ii) The product of the general class of polynomials given in **Theorem 3.1** and **3.2** reduces to a large spectrum of known polynomials as illustrated in Srivastava et al [10].
- (iii) The multivariable  $H$ -functions occurring in **Theorem 3.1** and **3.2** can be suitably specialized to give a large number of useful functions, for example, the Generalized Mittag-Leffler function, Bessel functions of one variable, generalized Wright hypergeometric functions, generalized Lauricella function, and so on.

- (a) If we reduce the multivariable  $H$ -functions involved in (3.1) to the product of  $r$  different Whittaker functions and Srivastava et al. [10, p. 18, equation (2.6.7)] and taking,  $v_i = 1$ ,  $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ), we get the following new and interesting result :

$$\{D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \delta} (t^{\rho-1} (b - at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^\mu [c_j t^{u_j} (b - at)^{-\lambda_j}] \times \prod_{i=1}^r e^{\left(\frac{-tz_i}{2}\right)} W_{v_i, \rho_i} (tz_i)\}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_k, \dots, (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k, \dots, (b_p)_k} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\
 &H_{3,3:1,2;\dots;1,2;0,1}^{0,3:2,0;\dots;2,0;1,0} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z_1 x \\ \vdots \\ z_r x \\ \frac{a}{b} x \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 1), (1 - \rho - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 1) \\ (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 0) (1 - \mu - \beta - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 1) \end{array} \right. \\
 &\left. \begin{array}{l} (1 - \rho - \alpha - \beta - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 1): (1 - u_1, 1); \dots; (1 - u_r, 1); - \\ (1 - \rho - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j k; 1, \dots, 1, 1): \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \rho_1, 1\right); \dots; \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \rho_r, 1\right); (0, 1) \end{array} \right] \quad (4.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

The conditions of validity of the (4.1) result easily follow the form (3.1).

(b) If we reduce the multivariable  $H$ -functions into the product of  $H$ -functions of two variables in **Theorem 3.1** and then reduce Fox's  $H$ -function to the exponential function by taking  $v_i = 1$ ,  $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$ , we get the following result after a simple simplification which is believed to be new:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &D_{0+}^{\alpha, \beta, \delta} (t^{\rho-1} (b - at)^{-\sigma} \prod_{j=1}^s {}_p M_q^{\mu} [c_j t^{u_j} (b - at)^{-\lambda_j}] e^{(-tz_1)} \times \\
 &H_{p_2, q_2}^{m_2, n_2} \left[ z_2 t^{v_2} (b - at)^{-\eta_2} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (c_j^{(2)}, \gamma_j^{(2)})_{1, p_2} \\ (d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)})_{1, q_2} \end{array} \right] (x) \\
 &= b^{-\sigma} x^{\rho+\beta-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{(a_1)_k, \dots, (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k, \dots, (b_p)_k} \frac{x^{u_j k}}{\Gamma(\vartheta k + \mu)} (c_j)^k b^{-\sum_{j=1}^s \eta_j k} x^{\sum_{j=1}^s u_j k} \\
 &H_{3,3:0,1;p_2, q_2, 0,1}^{0,3:1,0; m_2, n_2, 1, 0} \left[ \begin{array}{l} z_1 x \\ \frac{x^{v_2}}{b^{\eta_2}} \\ z_2 \frac{x^{v_2}}{b^{\eta_2}} \\ -\frac{a}{b} x \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{l} (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, \eta_2, 1), (1 - \rho - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; 1, v_2, 1) \\ (1 - \sigma - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, \eta_2, 0) (1 - \mu - \beta - \sum_{j=1}^s \lambda_j k; 1, v_2, 1) \end{array} \right. \\
 &\left. \begin{array}{l} (1 - \rho - \alpha - \beta - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; 1, v_2, 1): -; (c_j^{(2)}, \gamma_j^{(2)})_{1, p_2}; - \\ (1 - \rho - \delta - \sum_{j=1}^s u_j k; 1, v_2, 1): (0, 1); \dots; (d_j^{(2)}, \delta_j^{(2)})_{1, q_2}; (0, 1) \end{array} \right] \quad (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

The conditions of validity of the (4.1) result easily follow from (3.1).

## 5. Conclusion

In the present paper, we have obtained the result namely **Theorem 3.1** and **Theorem 3.2** of the generalized fractional derivative operators given by Saigö [18]. The theorems have been developed in terms of the product of multivariable  $H$ -functions [8,12] and a general class of polynomials in a compact and elegant with the help of Saigö operator. Most of the results obtained have been put in a compact form, avoiding the occurrence of [18] infinite series and thus making them useful in applications.

**Acknowledgement:** We are thankful to the editor and reviewer for constructive as well as creative suggestions.

## References

- [1] A. A. Kilbas, Fractional calculus of the generalized Wright function, *Fract.Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **8 (2)** (2005), 113-126.
- [2] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujilla, *Theory and applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, 204 (North-Holland Mathematics), Elsevier, 2004, 540.
- [3] A. A. Kilbas and M. Saigö, *H-function, Theory and Applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2004.
- [4] A. A. Kilbas and N. Sebastain, Generalized Differentiation of the Bessel function of the first kind, *Mathematica Balkanica* **22** (2008), 323-246.
- [5] A. C. McBride, Fractional power of a class of ordinary differential operators, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **45** (1982), 519-546.
- [6] Ashiq Hussain khan, Some results of general class of polynomial in relation with Saigö fractional operators, *Aryabhata journal of mathematics and informatics* **9(1)** (2017),474-490.
- [7] E. R. Love, Some integral equations involving hypergeometric function, *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, **15(3)** (1967), 169-198.
- [8] H. M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H-function of several complex variables I, II, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.*, **24/25** (1975/76), 119-137/167-191.
- [9] H. M. Srivastava, A contour integral involving Fox's H-function, *Indian. J. Math.*, **14**(1972), 1-6.
- [10] H. M. Srivastava, K. C. Gupta and S. P. Goyal, *The H-function of One and Two Variables with Application*, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, Madras,1982.

- [11] H. M. Srivastava and M. C. Daoust, A note on the convergence of Kampe de Ferret double hypergeometric series, *Math. Nachr.*, **53** (1972), 151-159.
- [12] H. M. Srivastava and R. Panda, Some bilateral generating functions for a class of generalized hypergeometric polynomials, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **283/284** (1975/76), 265-274.
- [13] J. Ram and D. Kumar, Generalized fractional integration involving Appell hypergeometric of the product of two H-functions, *Vijana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika* **54(3)** (2011), 33–43.
- [14] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Differential Equations*, A. Willey Interscience. Publication, John Willy and Sons Inc., New York, 1993.
- [15] L. Debnath and D.Bhatta, *Integral Transforms and their Applications*, Chapman and Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton FL,(2006).
- [16] M. Saigö, A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux Equation II, *Math. Japonica*, **25(2)** (1980), 211-220.
- [17] M. Saigö, A certain boundary value problem for the Euler-Darboux Equation I, *Math. Japonica*, **24(4)** (1979), 377-385.
- [18] M. Saigö, A remark on integral operators involving the Gauss hypergeometric functions, *Math. Rep. College of General Edu. Kyushu University*, **11** (1977-78), 135-143.
- [19] R. K. Saxena, J. Ram and D. L. Suthar, Fractional calculus of generalized Mittag-Leffler function, *J. India Acad. Math.*, **31(1)** (2009), 165-172.
- [20] S. L. Kalla and R. K. Saxena, Integral operators involving hypergeometric functions II, *Univ. Nac. Tucuman, Rev. Sev.*, **A24**, (1969), 31-36.
- [21] S. L.Kalla and R. K. Saxena, Integral operators involving hypergeometric function, *Math. Z.* **108** (1969),231-234.
- [22] S.Samko, A. A. Kilbass and O. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives, Theory and Applications*, Gardon and Breach Sci. Publ., New York, 1993.
- [23] T. R. Prabhakar, A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **19** (1971), 7-15.
- [24] V. Kiryakovo, A brief story about the operators of the generalized fractional calculus, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, **11(2)** (2008), 203-220.
- [25] V. Kiryakovo, *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*, Longman Scientific and Tech., Essex, 1994.